

Copy of WQIPP Scoring System

Project Name: Hypothetical Project

Number: 2018-G-3

Proj Manager:

Status:

Mandatory Criteria		YES	No
MC.1	Project Application Completed with Detailed Info	X	
MC.2	Impact of the Project on Water Quality	X	
MC.3	Duration of Benefits Exceed 5 Years	X	
MC.4	Funding Requirement	X	
MC.5	Demonstrated Technology	X	
MC.6	Ownership Commitment LOI	X	

Initials
1
2
3

Total Score
39

Only change numbers with Red font

Input (1 to 5)

Water Quality Improvement Impact		Percent Weight		Grade	Score
WQ.1	Impact on Water Quality is Measurable	20%	6	1	1.2
WQ.2	Impact on Water Quality is Significant	40%	12	2	4.8
WQ.3	Project serves Water Quality Priorities stated by the Project Plan	20%	6	3	3.6
WQ.4	If not Cost Efficient, does project provide a prototype or catalyst for something beneficial to water quality	20%	6	4	4.8
SubTotal		100%	30		14.4

Cost Factors		Percent Weight		Grade	Score
C.1	Cost estimate is well substantiated	40%	12	3	7.2
C.2	Project provides high value for cost	20%	6	2	2.4
C.3	Does project leverage other sources of funding	10%	3	4	2.4
C.4	Cost overrun contingency has been considered	10%	3	5	3
C.5	Maintenance Costs have been estimated and provided	20%	6	2	2.4
SubTotal		100%	30		17.4

Management, Experience, and Ability		Percent Weight		Grade	Score
MEA.1	Owner is a Municipality	10%	1.5	1	0.3
MEA.2	Project Type is a WQIPP Standard	25%	3.75	4	3

Copy of WQIPP Scoring System

MEA.3	Project Supports Town, County, LINAP, and other Adopted Goals	25%	3.75	5	3.75
MEA.4	Applicant has demonstrated experience in completing similar projects	40%	6	2	2.4
SubTotal		100%	15		9.45

Project Readiness		Percent Weight		Grade	Score
PR.1	Significant Community Support	20%	3	4	2.4
PR.2	Absence of Community Opposition	20%	3	5	3
PR.4	Owner has Committed with LOI	20%	3	3	1.8
PR.4	Sources of Funding not provided by CPF - LOI	20%	3	3	1.8
PR.5	Permitability	20%	3	1	0.6
SubTotal		100%	15		9.6

Maintenance, Monitoring, and Evaluation		Percent Weight		Grade	Score
MME.1	Provisions made for long term maintenance of the project post construction	50%	5	4	4
MME.2	Stewardship, monitoring, enforcement protocols in place	50%	5	3	3
SubTotal		100%	10		7

Scored Criteria	Category Weighting
WQ	Water Quality Improvement Impact 30
C	Cost Factors 30
MEA	Management, Experience, and Ability 15
PR	Project Readiness 15
MME	Maintenance, Monitoring, and Evaluation 10
100	